

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/609,145	06/27/2003	Paul Leblans	27500-158	6720
7590 08/02/2005			EXAMINER .	
Joseph Guy			MALEVIC, DJURA	
NEXSEN PRUET JACOBS & POLLARD, LLC P.O. Box 10107			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Greenville, SC 29603			2878	
		DATE MAILED: 08/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant/c				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/609,145	LEBLANS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Djura Malevic	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	une 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
	,—					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-40,45-48,53-57,59-64 and 66 is/are 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 41-44,49-52,58 and 65 is/are objecte 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. e rejected. ed to.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>06/27/2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06/27/2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/27/2003.		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/609,145 Page 2

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-36, 53-57, 59-64, and 66 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of copending Application No. 10/607,825. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both applications disclose an image storage screen comprising a binderless needle-shaped

stimulable phosphor and a substrate having identical roughness and identical reflectivity. In addition, the phosphors in both applications are expressed by the same formula and also the reflecting layers are made of aluminum.

Claims 37-40 and 45-48 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-44 of copending Application No. 10/607,825 in view of copending Application No. 10/625,693. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the moisture repellent layer of 10/625,693 into the screen of 10/607,825 because the repellent layer acts as a protective layer and excludes moisture from penetrating into moisture sensitive phosphor layer.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 41 - 44, 49 - 52, 58 and 65 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 41 - 44, an image storage screen or panel comprising a binderless needle-shaped stimulable phosphor and a substrate, wherein the substrate has a surface roughness of less than 2 micro-meter and a reflectivity of more than 80%,

Application/Control Number: 10/609,145

Art Unit: 2878

in conjunction with a moisture-repellent layer adjacent to said phosphor layer coated as an outermost layer was not found in the prior art nor was the screen found to be obvious over the prior art. Although, Isoda (US Pub. 2003/0034458 A1) teaches a radiation storage panel composed of a substrate and a phosphor film, Isoda does not teach or suggest any modifications for a reflectivity of more than 80%, roughness of less than 2 micro-meter and a moisture-repellent layer adjacent to said phosphor.

Claims 49 – 52, 58 and 65 would be allowed based on their dependences.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Djura Malevic whose telephone number is (571) 272-5975. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday–Friday between 8:30–4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/609,145

Art Unit: 2878

Djura Malevic Patent Examiner Art Unit 2878 571.272.5975 OTILIA GABOR PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5